

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. 80x 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

SNELL & WILMER LLP 1920 MAIN STREET SUITE 1200 IRVINE CA 92614-7230 MAIL

SEP 3 0 2004

DIRECTOR OFFICE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of Mary R. Rice Application No. 10/748,953 Filed: December 30, 2003

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR

ARRANGING A PAINT COLOR DISPLAY

This is a decision on the petition filed July 15, 2004 under 37 CFR §1.102(d) to make the application special.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR §1.102(d) and MPEP §708.02, section II (Infringement), must be accompanied by the required fee and a statement alleging:

(1) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market or method in use;

(2) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device, product, or method with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and

(3) that he or she has made or caused to be made a careful and thorough search of the prior art or has a good knowledge of the pertinent prior art. Further, Applicant must provide a copy of each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if the references are not already of record.

Applicant's submission is deficient in regard to item (2) above in that it fails to include a clear statement that a "rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device, product, or method with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed" as required.

Applicant's statement that "applicant and her attorneys have conducted a comparison and obtained samples of the infringing products" is insufficient to satisfy this requirement; inasmuch it is unclear if a rigid comparison with respect to the claims was made; or rather if it was some type of product to product comparison.

Applicant's submission is also deficient in regard to item (3) in that copies of the US patent references and publications have not been submitted.

Accordingly, the Petition is **DENIED**.

The application file will await examination in its proper turn based on its effective filing date.

Any request for reconsideration must be filed within two months of the mailing date of this decision and comply with items (2) and (3) above. No extensions of time will be permitted.

Krista Zele

Special Program Examiner
Technology Center 2600

Technology Center 2600 Communications

(703) 305-4701